

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/536,563	09/26/2005	Jouji Kokuzawa	082386-000100US	7075	
20350 7.	590 11/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND . CADERO CENTER	SAJJADI, FEREY	DOUN GHOTB		
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		1633		
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summant	10/536,563	KOKUZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fereydoun G. Sajjadi	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma	av 2005					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.	;					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		2				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Topic Totol Mail Date:  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/536,563

Art Unit: 1633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the preliminary amendment dated 5/26/2005, amending claims 4-6, 8-9 and 13; and adding new claim 14. No claims have been cancelled. Claims 1-14 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a culture medium for culturing neural stem cells comprising hepatocytes growth factor (HGF).

Group II, claim(s) 4-8, drawn to a method of culturing, proliferating and differentiating neural stem cells in culture medium comprising HGF.

Group III, claim(s) 9-14, drawn to a method of treating a neurological disease using neural stem cells cultured in medium comprising HGF.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when a shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The technical feature, which is shared by Groups I-III, is a culture medium comprising HGF. Groups I-III do not share a special technical feature over the art because Hamanoue et al. (J. Neurosci. Res. 43:554-564; 1996), teach the culture of rat embryonal neocortical tissue in medium containing recombinant human HGF (first column, p. 555). Therefore an invention of a culture medium comprising HGF fails to make a contribution over the prior art and there is no special technical feature between Groups I-III.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1633

Further, the search and examination of the composition, the method of cultural neural stem cells and method of treating a neurological disorder described in inventions of Groups I-III for prior art and patentability are not coextensive, as each invention is directed to a distinct goal, and employs particulars not required for the other. Thus, it follows from the preceding analysis that the claimed inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features for the reasons set forth above.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A specific growth factor, as recited in claim 3. The FGF-2 and EGF are structurally and functionally distinct growth factors, having no substantially shared common technical features, where each is not required for the other, and further capable of separate utility, requiring non-coextensive search and examination of their respective subject matter.

A specific type of neural tissue, as recited in claim 7. The brainstem, cerebellum, cerebral cortex, midbrain, spinal cord and ventricular are each physiologically and functionally distinct, having no substantially shared common technical features, where each is not required for the other, requiring non-coextensive search and examination of their respective subject matter.

A specific type of neurological disorder, as recited in claim 12. The epilepsy, trauma, stroke, ALS, Parkinson's, Alzheimer's and Huntington's disease are etiologically distinct, having no substantially shared common technical features, where each is not required for the other, requiring non-coextensive search and examination of their respective subject matter.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,563

Art Unit: 1633

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 3, 7 and 12, and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-14.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As the technical features linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since the growth factors, neural tissues and neurological diseases do not share a substantially common structural feature or function, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1633

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding the formalities should be directed to Patent Analyst William Phillips, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0548.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fereydoun G. Sajjadi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, between 7:00 am-4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at (800) 786-9199.

Fereydoun G. Sajjadi, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633

PRIMARY EXAMINER